



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,951	01/17/2002	John R. Hind	RSW920010122US1	1746	
7590 04/21/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts IBM Corporation T81/503			BETIT, JA	BETIT, JACOB F	
PO Box 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			2164		
		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/051,951	HIND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jacob F. Betit	2164			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2004</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-23,25-50,52-78 and 80-90 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) 25,80,88 and 90 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-23,26-50,52-78,81-87 and 89 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2164

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

1. In response to communications filed on 9-November-2004, claims 1, 4-14, 16-20, 25, 28-41, 43-47, 49, 52-53, 55, 58-61, 65-66, 68-69, 71, and 74-75 have been amended, claims 24, 51, and 79 have been canceled, and claims 88-90 have been added per applicant's request. Claims 1-23, 25-50, 52-78, and 80-90 are presently pending in the application.

# Specification

- 2. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to "System and Method for Managing and Securing Meta Data" is ineffective because the specification needs to be amended to identify the proper application number and filing date.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 10, 13, 37, 40, 52, 65, 68, and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2164

Claim 10 in line 5, claim 37 in line 8, and claim 65 in line 6 recite the limitation "the each

context". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 13, 40, and 68 each recite the conditional "if the local repository is at a null state".

Occurrence of this limitation would create a logical inconsistency because a local repository was

updated with at least one segment from the central repository to produce a meta data collection

prior to the "utilizing", which is when this conditional is said to occur. If the local repository is

updated with at least one segment it is not going to be in a "null state".

Claim 89 recites the limitation "the local depository" in lines 26-27. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 52 is rejected for being dependent on rejected independent claim 89.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-4, 10-11, 17, 20-22, 28-31, 37-38, 44, 47-49, 55-57, 59, 77, 83, and 85-87 are

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dedrick (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 1, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches a method of managing meta data using a central repository at a central repository subsystem, the central repository being accessible by a computing device through a communications network (see abstract), the method comprising the steps of:

connecting to the central repository through the communications network based on a user input (see column 20, lines 4-21);

updating a local repository of the computing device with at least one segment from the central repository that is associated with the user to produce a meta data collection associated with the user (see column 20, lines 22-29); and

utilizing, by the computing device, the meta data collection during a current user session at the computing device to assist the user in using the computing device (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 22),

wherein the utilizing step comprises retrieving, from the metadata collection, metadata that would be most appropriate for each of different contexts of using the computing device (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 22).

As to claims 2, 29, and 56, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches further comprising the step of: uploading any new segment from the computing device to the central repository at a predetermined time (see column 20, lines 26-29).

As to claims 3, 30, and 57, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches further comprising the step of:

incrementally uploading any new meta data generated during the current user session from the computing device to the central repository (see column 20, lines 26-29).

As to claims 4, 31, and 59, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches wherein the connecting step comprises: receiving, by the central repository subsystem, authentication information from the user (see column 20, lines 10-15);

verifying validity of the authentication information (see column 20, lines 14-17); and notifying the computing device that the user has proper authority to access the central repository if the authentication information is verified as valid (see column 20, lines 20-24).

As to claims 10 and 37, Dedrick teaches wherein the retrieving step is performed using heuristics algorithms (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 12); and the utilizing step further comprises applying the retrieved meta data in each context (see column 7, lines 40-52).

As to claims 11 and 38, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches wherein the current context comprises at least one of the following: opening a web page, filling in a computer form, filling in a password-changing form, providing a certificate, opening a computer file, processing a computer file, or executing an application program (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 23).

As to claims 17 and 44, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the current context is for filling in a computer form, and the applying step comprises: automatically filling in the computer form with said most appropriate meta data (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 8, lines 13-22).

As to claims 20 and 47, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches wherein the utilizing step comprises:

formulating search requirements based on a current context of using the computing device; and executing a search based on the search requirements using the heuristics algorithms (see column 7, line 9 through column 8, line 31).

As to claims 21 and 48, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches wherein the search requirements specify weighted properties of the current context of using the computing device (see column 7, line 9 through column 8, line 31).

As to claims 22 and 49, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches further comprising the step of: providing a graphical user interface (GUI) for allowing the user to organize the meta data collection (see column 7, lines 53-64 and see column 8, lines 23-31).

As to claim 28, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches a computer program product embodied on computer readable medium readable by at least one of a computing device and a central repository subsystem, for managing meta data using a central repository at the central repository subsystem, the central repository being accessible by the computing device through a communication network (see abstract), the computer program product comprising:

computer executable code configured to connect, through the communications network, to the central repository based on a user input (see column 20, lines 4-21);

computer executable code configured to update a local repository of the computing device with at least one segment from the central repository that is associated with the user to produce a meta data collection associated with the user (see column 20, lines 22-29); and

computer executable code configured to utilize, by the computing device, the meta data collection during a current user session at the computing device to assist the user in using the computing device (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 22),

wherein the computer executable code configured to utilize comprises computer executable code configured to retrieve, from the meta data collection, meta data that would be most appropriate for each of different context of using the computer device(see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 22).

As to claim 55, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches a system for managing meta data in a secure manner (see abstract), the system comprising:

a central repository subsystem comprising a central repository for storing a plurality of segments associated with a user in a collection order (see column 9, lines 57-65); and

at least one computing device capable of communicating with the central repository subsystem through a communications network, the computing device comprising a local repository and being capable of connecting, through the communications network, to the central repository based on a user input (see column 20, lines 4-21), updating the local repository with at least one of the segments from the central repository to produce a meta data collection associated with the user (see column 20, lines 22-29), and utilizing the meta data collection during a current

user session at the computing device to assist the user in using the computing device (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 22),

wherein the computer device retrieves, from the meta data collection, meta data that would be most appropriate for each of the different context of using the computer device (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 22).

As to claim 77, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches further comprising: a meta data editor for allowing the user to organize the meta data collection. (see column 7, lines 53-64 and see column 8, lines 23-31).

As to claim 83, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches wherein at least one of the central repository and the local repository is implemented using a network-attached storage (see column 3, lines 7-49).

As to claims 85-87, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches wherein the different contexts are rolls of the user (see column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 22, where "rolls" is read on the action the user is currently trying to perform such as web browsing or buying).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/051,951

Art Unit: 2164

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 5-6, 32-33, and 60-61 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedrick (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of Nguyen (U.S. patent No. 5,638,448).

As to claims 5, 32, and 60, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein the authentication information comprises user identification, a pass phrase of the user, and an identifier for the central repository or a component at the central repository subsystem.

Nguyen teaches secure communication sessions on a network (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein the authentication information comprises user identification, a pass phrase of the user, and an identifier for the central repository or a component at the central repository subsystem (see column 16, lines 13-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein the authentication information comprises user identification, a pass phrase of the user, and an identifier for the central repository or a component at the central repository subsystem.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Nguyen</u> because wherein the authentication information comprises user identification, a pass phrase of the user, and an identifier for the central repository or a component at the central repository subsystem would prevent the password from being transferred over the network and allow both the client and server to authenticate each other (see Nguyen, column 16, lines 13-16).

As to claims 6, 33, and 61, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the verifying step comprises: determining a secret key represented as a hash of: the received user identification concatenated with a hash of the received identifier, concatenated with the received pass phrase; and comparing the secret key with a stored key associated with the user (see <u>Nguyen</u>, column 16, lines 13-33).

10. Claims 7-9 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedrick (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of <u>Kim</u> (U.S. patent No. 6,546,002 B1).

As to claims 7 and 34, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein the updating step comprises: determining if the local repository is at a null state;

first requesting the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the determining step indicates that the local repository is not at a null state; and

second requesting the central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the determining step indicates that the local repository is at a null state.

Kim teaches using a mobile profile to dynamically access programs, URLs, telephone numbers, television channels, and radio stations (see abstract) in which he teaches wherein the updating step comprises: determining if the local repository is at a null state (see column 7, lines 38-65); first requesting the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the determining step indicates that the local repository is not at a null state (see column 7, lines 52-65); and second requesting the

Art Unit: 2164

central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the determining step indicates that the local repository is at a null state (see column 7, lines 44-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein the updating step comprises: determining if the local repository is at a null state; first requesting the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the determining step indicates that the local repository is not at a null state; and second requesting the central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the determining step indicates that the local repository is at a null state.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Kim</u> because wherein the updating step comprises: determining if the local repository is at a null state; first requesting the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the determining step indicates that the local repository is not at a null state; and second requesting the central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the determining step indicates that the local repository is at a null state would synchronize data with the server if the profile was already on the client and copy the profile to the client if it was not already there (see column 7, lines 38-65).

As to claims 8 and 35, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the updating step further comprises:

receiving at least one segment from the central repository subsystem in response to said first requesting step (see <u>Kim</u>, column 7, lines 52-65);

decrypting the at least one segment (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 20, lines 21-29, where it is inherent that the encrypted information is decrypted when it gets to the local computer); and applying the decrypted at least one segment to the meta data collection to produce the meta data collection associated with the user (see <u>Kim</u>, column 7, lines 52-65).

As to claims 9 and 36, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the updating step further comprises:

receiving at least one segment from the central repository subsystem in response to said second requesting step (see <u>Kim</u>, column 7, lines 44-51);

decrypting the at least one segment (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 20, lines 21-29, where it is inherent that the encrypted information is decrypted when it gets to the local computer); and generating the meta data collection for the user using the decrypted at least one segment (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 20, lines 23-25).

11. Claims 12-16, and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <a href="Dedrick">Dedrick</a> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of <a href="Bull et al.">Bull et al.</a> (U.S. patent No. 5,901,287).

As to claims 12 and 39, <u>Dedrick</u> teaches wherein the utilizing step further comprises: continuously collecting meta data resulting from use of the computing device during the current user session at the computing device (see column 7, lines 40-52).

<u>Dedrick</u> does not teach the method further comprises:

generating a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session; and

processing the new segment.

Bull et al. teaches aggregation and synthesization of information (see abstract), in which he teaches the method further comprises: generating a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session; and processing the new segment (see column 4, lines 28-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include the method further comprises: generating a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session; and processing the new segment.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Bull et al.</u> because the method further comprises: generating a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session; and processing the new segment would allow updated information to be available the next time they use the system (see <u>Bull et al.</u>, column 4, lines 28-33).

As to claims 13 and 40 <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the processing step includes:

updating the meta data collection with the new segment if said meta data collection exists in the local repository (see <u>Bull et al.</u>, column 4, lines 28-33)

storing the new segment in the local repository as a meta data collection for the user if the local repository is at a null state (this limitation holds no patentable weight since under no circumstances will this step occur given that the step "updating a local repository of the computer device with at least one segment from the central repository that is associated with the user to produce a meta data collection associated with the user" occurred prior to this step in claim 1 and after that step occurs "the local repository" cannot be in a null state any longer).

As to claims 14 and 41, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the meta data comprises application data for being usable in an application executable on the computing device, and context data for identifying context in which said application data are used (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 12), and wherein the utilizing step further comprises:

determining statistical information associated with the meta data, the statistical information indicating relationships between the meta data, wherein the retrieving step is performed in part based on the statistical information (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, line 65 through column 8, line 12).

As to claims 15 and 42, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the context data identify at least one of the following: user roles, uniform resource identifiers (URIs), file names, and/or form names pertaining to the application data (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 5, lines 1-16).

As to claims 16 and 43, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the application data comprise at least one of the following: page display setting data, file display setting data, user

ID/password combinations, field values for computer forms, user's preference data, bookmarks, and certificates (see Dedrick, column 7, lines 40-52).

12. Claims 18 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of <u>Mohan et al.</u> (U.S. patent No. 6,505,230 B1).

As to claims 18 and 45, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the utilizing step further comprises:

retrieving, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form; and

presenting the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration.

Mohan et al. teaches a client-server independent intermediary system (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the utilizing step further includes: retrieving, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form; and presenting the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration (see column 11, lines 7-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the utilizing step further includes: retrieving, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form; and presenting the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration.

Page 16

Art Unit: 2164

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Mohan et al.</u> because wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the utilizing step further includes: retrieving, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form; and presenting the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration would allow the user to choose to leave some items blank or fill in items that are not in the normally found in the user's profile without having to delete or fill in the items every time a particular form is filled out (see <u>Mohan et al.</u>, column 11, lines 2-6).

13. Claims 19 and 46 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of <u>Chun et al.</u> (U.S. patent No. 2002/0184527 A1).

As to claims 19 and 46, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data comprises a user identification and a password, and wherein the applying step comprises:

presenting to the user the password in an obfuscated format;

determining whether it is safe to present the actual password to the user; and

presenting the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be
safe to present the actual password.

Chun et al. teaches an intelligent data securing apparatus (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data comprises a user identification and a password, and wherein the applying

step comprises: presenting to the user the password in an obfuscated format; determining whether it is safe to present the actual password to the user; and presenting the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be safe to present the actual password (see page 5, paragraph 0050).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data comprises a user identification and a password, and wherein the applying step comprises: presenting to the user the password in an obfuscated format; determining whether it is safe to present the actual password to the user; and presenting the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be safe to present the actual password.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Chun et al.</u> because wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data comprises a user identification and a password, and wherein the applying step comprises: presenting to the user the password in an obfuscated format; determining whether it is safe to present the actual password to the user; and presenting the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be safe to present the actual password would give the user the ability to change passwords and retrieve forgotten passwords (see <u>Chun et al.</u>, page 5, paragraph 0050).

Art Unit: 2164

14. Claims 23, 50, and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedrick (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of Nagahara et al. (U.S. patent No. 6,636,246 B1).

As to claims 23 and 50, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein the GUI displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection.

Nagahara et al. teaches wherein the GUI displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection (see column 5, lines 18-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein the GUI displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Nagahara et al.</u> because wherein the GUI displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection would provide superior operability when making selections from a menu (see Nagahara et al., abstract).

As to claim 78, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein the meta data editor displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection.

Nagahara et al. teaches wherein the meta data editor displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection (see column 5, lines 18-33).

.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein the meta data editor displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Nagahara et al.</u> because wherein the meta data editor displays a graphical tool in a cylindrical configuration for organizing the meta data collection would provide superior operability when making selections from a menu (see <u>Nagahara et al.</u>, abstract).

15. Claims 26, 53, 58, 65-66, 72, 75-76, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further".

As to claims 26 and 53, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein the computing device implements a Common Data Security Architecture (CDSA), and the utilizing step is performed by a CDSA add-on module.

"Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" teaches wherein the computing device implements a Common Data Security Architecture (CDSA), and the utilizing step is performed by a CDSA add-on module (see page 1, paragraphs 1 and 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein the computing device implements a Common Data Security Architecture (CDSA), and the utilizing step is performed by a CDSA add-on module.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" because wherein the computing device implements a Common Data Security Architecture (CDSA), and the utilizing step is performed by a CDSA add-on module would standardize the security protocol so it can more easily be implemented into multiple applications (see "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further", page 1, paragraph 1).

As to claim 58, Dedrick teaches wherein the computing device further comprises:

a plurality of applications selectably executable on the computing device (see column 5, lines 52-67);

a data repository module, provided as an add-in module to the security-service providing architecture, for utilizing the meta data collection to assist the user in using the computing device (see Figure 8, step 306); and

an encryption/decryption module for encryption any new segment to be transmitted to the central repository subsystem (see column 6, line 35 through column 7, line 8).

<u>Dedrick</u> does not teach a security-service providing architecture structure for selectively providing security-based services to at least one of the plurality of applications.

"Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" teaches a security-service providing architecture structure for selectively providing security-based services to at least one of the plurality of applications (see page 1, paragraphs 3-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include a security-service providing architecture structure for selectively providing security-based services to at least one of the plurality of applications.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" because a security-service providing architecture structure for selectively providing security-based services to at least one of the plurality of applications would standardize the security protocol so it can more easily be implemented into multiple applications (see "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further", page 1, paragraph 1).

As to claim 65, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the data repository module retrieves the most appropriate meta data using heuristics algorithms (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 12), and transmits the retrieved meta data to an appropriate one of the

applications which in turn applies the retrieved meta data in each context (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, lines 40-52).

As to claim 66, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the current context comprises at least one of the following: opening a web page, filling in a computer form, filling in a password-changing form, providing a certificate, opening a computer file, processing a computer file, or executing an application program (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, lines 40 through column 8, line 23).

As to claim 72, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the current context is for filling in a computer form, and said appropriate one of the applications automatically fills the computer form with said most appropriate meta data (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 8, lines 13-22).

As to claim 75, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the data repository module formulates search requirements based on a current context of using the computing device, and executes a search based on the search requirements using heuristics algorithms (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, line 9 through column 8, line 31).

As to claim 76, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the search requirements specify weighted properties of the current context of using the computing device (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, line 9, through column 8, line 31).

As to claim 81, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the computing device is configured in Common Data Security Architecture (CDSA), and the data repository module is an add-on module to the CDSA configuration (see "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" page 1, paragraphs 1-2).

16. Claims 27, 54, and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <a href="Dedrick">Dedrick</a> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of <a href="Charisius et al.">Charisius et al.</a> (U.S. patent publication No. 2002/0077842 A1).

As to claims 27, 54, and 82, <u>Dedrick</u> does not teach wherein the central repository subsystem is implemented using WebDAV protocols.

<u>Charisius et al.</u> teaches wherein the central repository subsystem is implemented using WebDAV protocols (see page 1, paragraph 0010).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> to include wherein the central repository subsystem is implemented using WebDAV protocols.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> by the teachings of <u>Charisius et al.</u> because wherein the central repository subsystem is implemented using WebDAV protocols because wherein the central repository subsystem is implemented using WebDAV protocols would allow multiple users to view the same workflow and project plans, provide persistent storage, monitor

the progress of an activated project plan, and simultaneously create plans from the same workflow (see Charisius et al., page 1, paragraph 0010).

17. Claims 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" as applied to claims 26, 53, 58, 65-66, 72, 75-76, and 81 above, and in further view of <u>Kim</u> (U.S. patent No. 6,546,002 B1).

As to claim 62, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, still does not teach wherein the data repository module determines if the local repository is at a null state, transmits a first request to the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the local repository is not at a null state, and transmits a second request to the central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the local repository is at a null state.

<u>Kim</u> teaches wherein the data repository module determines if the local repository is at a null state (see column 7, lines 38-65), transmits a first request to the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the local repository is not at a null state (see column 7, lines 52-65), and transmits a second request to the central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the local repository is at a null state (see column 7, lines 44-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, to include wherein the data repository module determines if the local repository is at a null state, transmits a first request to the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the local repository is not at a null state, and transmits a second request to the central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the local repository is at a null state.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, by the teachings of <u>Kim</u> because wherein the data repository module determines if the local repository is at a null state, transmits a first request to the central repository subsystem to transmit any segment associated with the user that has not been applied to the computing device if the local repository is not at a null state, and transmits a second request to the central repository subsystem to transmit all segments associated with the user if the local repository is at a null state would synchronize data with the server if the profile was already on the client and copy the profile to the client if it was not already there (see <u>Kim</u>, column 7, lines 38-65).

As to claim 63, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the encryption/decryption module receives at least one segment from the central repository subsystem in response to said first request (see <u>Kim</u>, column 7, lines 52-65), and decrypts the at least one segment (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 20, lines 21-29, where it is inherent that the encrypted information is decrypted when it gets to the local computer), and wherein the data repository module applies the decrypted at least

Application/Control Number: 10/051,951

Art Unit: 2164

one segment to the meta data collection to produce the meta data collection associated with the user (see <u>Kim</u>, column 7, lines 52-65).

As to claim 64, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the encryption/decryption module receives at least one segment from the central repository subsystem in response to said second request (see <u>Kim</u>, column 7, lines 44-51), and decrypts the at least one segment (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 20, lines 21-29, where it is inherent that the encrypted information is decrypted when it gets to the local computer), and wherein the data repository module generates the meta data collection for the user using the decrypted at least one segment (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 20, lines 23-25).

18. Claims 67-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" as applied to claims 26, 53, 58, 65-66, 72, 75-76, and 81 above, and in further view of <u>Bull et al.</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,901,287).

As to claim 67, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the data repository module continuously collects meta data resulting from use of the computing device during the current user session at the computing device (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, lines 40-52).

<u>Dedrick</u> as modified, still does not teach generates a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session.

Bull et al. teaches generates a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session (see column 4, lines 28-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, to include generates a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified by the teachings of <u>Bull et al.</u> because generates a new segment based on the collected meta data upon completion of the current user session would allow updated information to be available the next time they use the system (see <u>Bull et al.</u>, column 4, lines 28-33).

As to claim 68, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the data repository module updates the meta data collection with the new segment if the meta data collection exists in the local repository (see <u>Bull et al.</u>, column 4, lines 28-33), and stores the new segment in the local repository as a meta data collection for the user if the local repository is at a null state (this limitation holds no patentable weight since under no circumstances will this step occur given that the step "updating a local repository of the computer device with at least one segment from the central repository that is associated with the user to produce a meta data collection associated with the user" occurred prior to this step in claim 1 and after that step occurs "the local repository" cannot be in a null state any longer).

As to claim 69, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the meta data comprises application data for being usable in an application executable on the computing device, and context data for identifying context in which said application data are used (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 7, line 40 through column 8, line 12), and wherein the data repository module determines statistical information associated with the meta data and retrieves said appropriate meta data based on the statistical information, the statistical information indicating relationships between the meta data (see Dedrick, column 7, line 65 through column 8, line 12).

As to claim 70, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the context data identify at least one of the following: user roles, uniform resource identifiers (URIs), file names, and/or form names pertaining to the application data (see <u>Dedrick</u>, column 5, lines 1-16).

As to claim 71, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, teaches wherein the application data comprises at least one of the following: page display setting data, file display setting data, user ID/password combinations, field values for computer forms, user's preference data, bookmarks, and certificates (see Dedrick, column 7, lines 40-52).

19. Claim 73 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" as applied to claims 26, 53, 58, 65-66, 72, 75-76, and 81 above, and further in view of <u>Mohan et al.</u> (U.S. patent No. 6,505,230 B1).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 73, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, does not teach wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the data repository module retrieves, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form, and transmits the alternative meta data to said appropriate one of the applications which in turn presents the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration.

Mohan et al. teaches wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the data repository module retrieves, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form, and transmits the alternative meta data to said appropriate one of the applications which in turn presents the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration (see column 11, lines 7-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, to include wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the data repository module retrieves, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form, and transmits the alternative meta data to said appropriate one of the applications which in turn presents the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, by the teachings of <u>Mohan et al.</u> because wherein, if the current context is for filling in a computer form, the data repository module retrieves, from the meta data collection, alternative meta data that are related to the current context of filling in the computer form, and transmits the alternative meta data to said

appropriate one of the applications which in turn presents the alternative meta data to the user for the user's consideration would allow the user to choose to leave some items blank or fill in items that are not in the normally found in the user's profile without having to delete or fill in the items every time a particular form is filled out (see Mohan et al., column 11, lines 2-6).

20. Claim 74 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" as applied to claims 26, 53, 58, 65-66, 72, 75-76, and 81 above, and in further view of <u>Chun et al.</u> (U.S. patent No. 2002/0184527 A1).

As to claim 74, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, still does not teach wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data includes a user identification and a password, and wherein the data repository module controls said appropriate one of the applications to present to the user the password in an obfuscated format, determines whether it is safe to present the actual password to the user, and controls said appropriate one of the applications to present the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be safe to present the actual password.

Chun et al. teaches wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data comprises a user identification and a password, and wherein the data repository module controls said appropriate one of the applications to present to the user the password in an obfuscated format, determines whether it is safe to present the actual

Art Unit: 2164

password to the user, and controls said appropriate one of the applications to present the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be safe to present the actual password (see page 5, paragraph 0050).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, to include wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data includes a user identification and a password, and wherein the data repository module controls said appropriate one of the applications to present to the user the password in an obfuscated format, determines whether it is safe to present the actual password to the user, and controls said appropriate one of the applications to present the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be safe to present the actual password.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, by the teachings of <u>Chun et al.</u> because wherein the current context is for filling in a password-changing computer form, and the retrieved meta data includes a user identification and a password, and wherein the data repository module controls said appropriate one of the applications to present to the user the password in an obfuscated format, determines whether it is safe to present the actual password to the user, and controls said appropriate one of the applications to present the actual password in a non-obfuscated format when it is determined to be safe to present the actual password would give the user the ability to change passwords and retrieve forgotten passwords (see <u>Chun et al.</u>, page 5, paragraph 0050).

21. Claim 84 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Dedrick</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,710,884) in view of "Net Security Standard from the Open Group Brings the Realization of High-Value E-Commerce for Everyone a Step Further" as applied to claims 26, 53, 58, 65-66, 72, 75-76, and 81 above, and further in view of <u>Lim</u> (U.S. patent No. 6,728,843 B1).

As to claim 84, <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, does not teach wherein the data repository module resides on a proxy machine accessible through a predetermined connection means.

<u>Lim</u> teaches integrating authentication and authorization mechanisms into an application access control system (see abstract) in which he teaches wherein the data repository module resides on a proxy machine accessible through a predetermined connection means (see column 8, lines 46-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, to include wherein the data repository module resides on a proxy machine accessible through a predetermined connection means.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Dedrick</u> as modified, by the teachings of <u>Lim</u> because wherein the data repository module resides on a proxy machine accessible through a predetermined connection means would access to remote servers through a common API (see column 7, lines 34-44).

Art Unit: 2164

#### Allowable Subject Matter

22. Claims 25, 80, 88 and 90 are allowed.

- 23. Claim 89 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 24. Claim 52 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

- 25. Applicant's arguments filed 9-November-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 26. In response to applicant's argument that "Dedrick's customization of information does not vary depending on the different contexts of using the end-use device", the arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. Dedrick teaches retrieving different information for different contexts or roles of the end user. If the user is browsing the web, certain file formats and colors are automatically chosen for the use (see column 7, lines 40-64). If the user is making a purchase, name and credit card information is given to the advitiser (see column 8, lines 13-22). Given these two different rolls of the user, the customization

Art Unit: 2164

information is changing depending on the information that is required by the current context or roll the user is experiencing.

#### Conclusion

27. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob F. Betit whose telephone number is (571) 272-4075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571) 272-4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2164

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jfb 8 Apr 2005

SAM RIMELL